IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Paul J. Bruinsma

Confirmation No.:

6422

Serial No.:

09/481,988

Examiner:

Paul Marcantoni

Filed:

January 11, 2000

Group Art Unit:

1755

For:

MESOPOROUS-SILICA FILMS, FIBERS, AND POWDERS BY

EVAPORATION

Date:

November 13, 2007

Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMUNICATION

Please find attached a communication with regard to the claims renumbering in this case.

Remarks

Applicant's representative and Examiner Tierney discussed this issue in a phone call, but Applicant would like this communication entered into the file wrapper of this case.

This case been filed with the claims from the reissue patent application numbered as 1-27. During the course of prosecution, several more claims were added.

In an advisory action mailed on April 3, 2003, attached, the Examiner indicated that *all* of the claims, including the original claims 1-27 be renumbered beginning with the number 129, as the added claims last number was claim 128.

When this case was allowed, it was allowed with 59 claims, numbered claims 129-187, of which claims 129-155 were the original claims 1-27 renumbered in accordance with the Examiner's direction.

In the IIFW document up on PAIR, the claims 1-27 are indicated as still being active, and the renumbering began with renumbering claims 129 as claim 28. However, claims 1-27 have not been active under those numbers since 2003. To renumber claims 129 as 28 and to continue to the final claim in the case, claim 187 would be claim 86, indicating that 86 claims were allowed.

Applicant objects to this renumbering and submits that the claims need to be renumbered differently, beginning with claim 1 being claim 129 and claim 59 being claim 187. Otherwise, if renumbered as indicated by the documents on PAIR, the case will issue with 86 claims, claims 1-27 and claims 28-55 being the exact same claims. Examiner Tierney did not believe that this could be achieved, so Applicant is making a record of his objection to this renumbering.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,

Julie L. Reed

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.

Julie L. Reed

Reg. No. 35,349

210 SW Morrison Street Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204 503-222-3613



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usptc.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/481,988	01/11/2000	PAUL J. BRUINSMA	1941-70	6422
20575 75	90 04/03/2003			
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC			EXAMINER	
PORTLAND, O	RISON STREET PR 97205		MARCANTONI, PAUL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	71
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	J (,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.]
09/481,988	1/11/00	BRUINSMA et al.	1941-70	HC.

EX	AMINER
Poul Marc	iantoni
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1755	31

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•	
TH TH	E PERIOD FOR RES	PONSE:			
a) 🗹	is extended to run	4 mos	or continues to run	from the date of the fina	al rejection
b) 🗀				mailing date of this Advisory Action, than six months from the date of the	
	The date on which to purposes of determine	he response, the prince of the prince of the period of the	petition, and the fee have been extension and the correspondi	CFR 1.136(a), the proposed respon filled is the date of the response an ng amount of the fee. Any extensio atutory period for response or as se	nd also the date for the in fee pursuant to 37 CFR
□ Ар	pellant's Brief is due i	n accordance with	1 37 CFR 1.192(a).		. •
	plicant's response to place the application i			been considered with the following	and is effect, buildis n ot deemed
1.	The proposed amend	dments to the claim	m and /or specification will not	be entered and the final rejection st	ands because:
	a. There is no co presented.	nvincing showing	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why th	e proposed amendment is necessal	ry and was not earlier
	b. They raise nev	v issues that woul	d require further consideration	and/or search. (See Note).	
	c. They raise the	issue of new ma	tter. (See Note).		
	d. They are not appeal.	deemed to place	the application in better form to	r appeal by materially reducing or s	implifying the issues for
	e. They present	additional claims	without cancelling a correspond	ding number of finally rejected claim	18 .
	NOTE:	See a	ttached sheet))	-
2.	Newly proposed or the non-allowable cl		would be a	liowed if submitted in a separately f	filed amendment cancelling
з. 🔲	Upon the filing an ap be as follows:	peal, the propose	od amendment 🔲 will be ente	red will not be entered and the	status of the dalms will
٠	Claims allowed:	-27, 40, 41	, 58-60, 69-71, 78	?- 88, 90-9 5 , 98, + 109-1	15,4128
	Claims rejected:				renumberry
	However;				p chins.
	Applicant's resp	onse has overcor	ne the following rejection(s):	Claim 128 is allowed	Le & cuse
4. 🔲	The affidavit, exhibit	or request for red	consideration has been consider	red but does not overcome the reje	ction because
5. 🗍	The affidavit or exhit presented.	oit will not be cons	idered because applicant has r	not shown good and sufficent reason	ns why it was not earlier
Пре	proposed drawing co	nrection 🔲 has	has not been approved	by the examiner.	Just 12
Oth	er				PAUL MARCANTONI

PRIMARY EXAMINER



Application/Control Number: 09/481,988

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant's arguments filed 3/27/03 have been fully considered but they are persuasive. Claim 128 is determined to be allowable. Now claims 1-27,40,41,58-60,69-71,78-88,90-95,98,109-115, and 128 are in condition for allowance.

New Amendment:

It would be appreciated if applicants would submit the actual amendment faxed on 3/27 with only the the following request:

1) That applicants *cancel* all previous pending claims which are now allowable including claims 1,27,40,41,58-60,69-71,78-88,90-95,98,109-115, and 128.

And

2) Renumber those claims from the 3/27/03 amendment as newclaims starting with claim 129 onwards. The following sequence matches old claims and new claims to be submitted

Claim 1	should be renumbered should be renumbered		129	
2			130	
3	61	u	"	131
4	44	££		132

etc.

128 should be renumbered 189

This is being requested in order to expedite processing and prevent any delays at the printer due to the extensive case history and number of amendments during the 3



Art Unit: 1755

years of prosecuction. Often cases with numerous amendments have the potential to cause problems and delay at the printer. Applicants' assistance in this matter is appreciated. A Notice of Allowability will be sent to applicants pending upon receipt of this amendment.

Applicants are respectfully requested to fax the same amendment as was faxed on 3/27/03 with the new claim numbers and cancellation of all previous claims.

The Tech Center 1700 Fax numbers are 703-872-9310 and 703-872-9311.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is (703)-308-1196. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (703) 308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular communications and (703)-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755